

# RELATION BETWEEN TURÁN EXTREMUM PROBLEM AND VAN DER CORPUT SETS

D.V. GORBACHEV, A.S. MANOSHINA (TULA)

ABSTRACT. Let  $K \subset \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbf{T}(K)$  is a set of trigonometric polynomials

$$T(x) = T_0 + \sum_{k \in K, k \leq H} T_k \cos(2\pi kx), \quad H > 1,$$

$T(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x$  and  $T(0) = 1$ .

Suppose that  $0 < h \leq 1/2$  and  $K(h)$  is the class of functions

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \cos(2\pi nx)$$

satisfying the following conditions:  $a_n \geq 0$  for all  $n$ ,  $f(0) = 1$  and  $f(x) = 0$  for  $h \leq |x| \leq 1/2$ .

We consider an relation between extremum problem

$$\delta(K) = \inf_{T \in \mathbf{T}(K)} T_0$$

and Turán extremum problem

$$A(h) = \sup_{f \in K(h)} a_0 = \sup_{f \in K(h)} \int_{-h}^h f(x) dx$$

for rational numbers  $h = p/q$  and set  $K = \bigcup_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \{q\nu + p, \dots, q\nu + q - p\}$ .

The problem  $\delta(K)$  is connection with van der Korput sets. Van der Korput sets study in analytic number theory.

In number theory following question is important (see [1], we use results of Chapter 2): is given set of numbers

$$\{u_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{R}$$

uniformly distributed?

To date several methods are known for solving this problem [1]. One way was given by J.G. van der Corput. This method consists in research of sequence

$$\{u_{n+k} - u_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty},$$

where  $k$  runs over some set  $K$  of natural numbers.

**Definition** ([1]). A set  $K \subset \mathbb{N}$  is called a van der Corput set if the sequence  $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is uniformly distributed  $(\bmod 1)$  whenever the differenced sequence

$$\{u_{n+k} - u_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

is uniformly distributed  $(\bmod 1)$  for all  $k \in K$ .

---

*Date:* 12/16/2003.

This research was supported by the RFBR under grant no. 03-01-00647 and 03-01-06199.  
Matem. zametki (Russia), 2004 (in publishing).

Set of natural numbers  $K = \mathbb{N}$  is a van der Corput set. It was proved by van der Corput [1].

In [1], criterion was given for determining whether a set  $K \subset \mathbb{N}$  is a van der Corput set. It is founded on following extremum problem for positive trigonometric polynomials.

**Problem 1.** Let  $\mathbf{T}(K)$  be a set of trigonometric polynomials  $T(x)$  such as following conditions are satisfied:

- 1)  $T(x) = T_0 + \sum_{\substack{k \in K \\ k \leq H}} T_k \cos(2\pi kx)$ ,  $H > 1$ ;
- 2)  $T(x) \geq 0 \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ ;
- 3)  $T(0) = 1$ .

One needs to find value

$$\delta(K) = \inf_{T \in \mathbf{T}(K)} T_0.$$

**Theorem ([1]).** A set  $K \subset \mathbb{N}$  is a van der Corput set if and only if

$$\delta(K) = 0.$$

From this it follows that  $\delta(\mathbb{N}) = 0$  (van der Corput's result) and  $\delta(K) > 0$  for any finite set  $K$ . Therefore a van der Corput set is not finite.

Exact value of  $\delta(K)$  is known in few cases. Now, two examples of exact values [1].

**Example 1.** Suppose  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $q \geq 2$ ,

$$K_q^0 = \{1, 2, \dots, q-1\}, \quad K_q = q\mathbb{Z}_+ + K_q^0 = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : q \nmid k\},$$

here  $q\mathbb{Z}_+ + K = \{q\nu + k : \nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+, k \in K\}$ . Then

$$\delta(K_q^0) = \delta(K_q) = \frac{1}{q}.$$

Thus this set  $K_q$  is not a van der Corput set.

$$\text{Example 2. } \delta(\{2, 3\}) = \frac{\cos(\pi/5)}{1 + \cos(\pi/5)} = 0,44721\dots$$

Many properties are established for value  $\delta(K)$  in [1]. Now, some of them (here  $K, K_1, K_2 \subset \mathbb{N}$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ ):

- 1) if  $K_1 \subset K_2$ , then  $\delta(K_1) \geq \delta(K_2)$ ;
- 2)  $\delta(qK) = \delta(K)$ , where  $qK = \{qk : k \in K\}$ ;
- 3)  $\delta(K^{(q)}) \leq q\delta(K)$ , where  $K^{(q)} = \{k \in K : q \mid k\}$  (if set  $K^{(q)}$  is empty, then  $\delta(K) \geq 1/q$ );
- 4)  $\delta(K_1)\delta(K_2) \leq \delta(K_1 \cup K_2)$ .

These properties show that it is desirable to know value  $\delta(K)$  for given set  $K$  even if  $\delta(K) \neq 0$ . Examples from [1]: set  $Q = \{\nu^2 + 1\}_{\nu=1}^{\infty}$  and set of prime numbers  $\mathbb{P}$  are not van der Corput sets, since  $Q \subset K_3$ ,  $\mathbb{P} \subset K_4$  and  $\delta(Q) \geq 1/3$ ,  $\delta(\mathbb{P}) \geq 1/4$ .

There exists a relation between extremum problem  $\delta(K)$  and Turán extremum problem  $A(h)$  [2] for rational numbers  $h = p/q$ .

**Turán's problem ([2]).** Suppose that  $0 < h \leq 1/2$  and  $K(h)$  is the class of continuous 1-periodic even functions  $f(x)$  satisfying the following conditions:

- 1)  $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \cos(2\pi nx);$
- 2)  $a_n \geq 0$  for all  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots;$
- 3)  $f(0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n = 1;$
- 4)  $f(x) = 0$  for  $h \leq |x| \leq 1/2.$

It is required to evaluate the quantity

$$A(h) = \sup_{f \in K(h)} a_0 = \sup_{f \in K(h)} \int_{-h}^h f(x) dx.$$

Let  $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $2p \leq q$ ,  $(p, q) = 1$ . In 1972 S.B. Stechkin (see [2]) solved the problem for  $p = 1$ ,  $q = 2, 3, \dots$  ( $A(1/q) = 1/q$ ). In [2], the value of  $A(h)$  was calculated for  $p = 2, 3$  and  $q = 2p + 1$ .

Consider sets

$$K_{p,q}^0 = \{p, p+1, \dots, q-p\}, \quad K_{p,q} = q\mathbb{Z}_+ + K_{p,q}^0.$$

**Theorem 1.** For  $p = 1$ ,  $q = 2, 3, \dots$

$$\delta(K_{1,q}^0) = \delta(K_{1,q}) = A(1/q) = \frac{1}{q}.$$

For  $p = 2, q = 3, 5, \dots$

$$\delta(K_{2,q}^0) = \delta(K_{2,q}) = A(2/q) = \frac{1 + \cos(\pi/q)}{q \cos(\pi/q)}.$$

For  $p = 3, q = 7, 8, 10, 11, \dots$

$$\delta(K_{3,q}^0) = \delta(K_{3,q}) = A(3/q) = \frac{1}{q} \left( 1 + \frac{1 - 2(\cos(2\pi r_0/q) + \cos(2\pi(r_0+1)/q))}{1 + 2 \cos(2\pi r_0/q) \cos(2\pi(r_0+1)/q)} \right),$$

where  $r_0 = [q/3]$  is an integer part of  $q/3$ .

For  $q = 2p + 1$ ,  $p = 1, 2, \dots$

$$\delta(K_{p,2p+1}^0) = \delta(K_{p,2p+1}) = A(p/(2p+1)) = \frac{\cos(\pi/(2p+1))}{1 + \cos(\pi/(2p+1))}.$$

Since  $K_{1,q}^0 = K_q^0$ ,  $K_{1,q} = K_q$ ,  $K_{2,3}^0 = \{2, 3\}$  and

$$A(1/q) = \frac{1}{q}, \quad A(2/5) = \frac{1 + \cos(\pi/5)}{5 \cos(\pi/5)} = \frac{\cos(\pi/5)}{1 + \cos(\pi/5)},$$

then by theorem 1, so that we get examples 1 and 2.

**Proof of theorem 1 in the case  $p = 3$ .** Let  $f(x)$  be arbitrary function of class  $K(p/q)$ , and let  $T^{(\varepsilon)} \in \mathbf{T}(K_{p,q})$  be polynomial such that

$$T_0^{(\varepsilon)} \leq \delta(K_{p,q}) + \varepsilon, \quad (1)$$

where  $\varepsilon > 0$  is a little number. Since  $T_k^{(\varepsilon)} = 0$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus K_{p,q}$ ,  $f(k/q) = 0$  for  $k = q\nu + k'$  ( $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $k' = p, \dots, q-p$ ), i.e. for  $k \in K_{p,q}$  (by definition of set  $K_{p,q}$ ), it follows that

$$T_0^{(\varepsilon)} = \sum_{\substack{k \in K_{p,q} \cup \{0\} \\ k \leq \deg T^{(\varepsilon)}}} T_k^{(\varepsilon)} f(k/q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \sum_{\substack{k \in K_{p,q} \cup \{0\} \\ k \leq \deg T^{(\varepsilon)}}} T_k^{(\varepsilon)} c(nk/q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n T^{(\varepsilon)}(nk/q).$$

Hence by nonnegativeness of  $a_n \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $T^{(\varepsilon)}(x) \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ , by equality  $T^{(\varepsilon)}(0) = 1$  and inequality (1), so that

$$a_0 \leq \delta(K_{p,q}) + \varepsilon.$$

Since this inequality is established for arbitrary function  $f \in K(p/q)$ , it follows that

$$\sup_{f \in K(p/q)} a_0 = A(p/q) \leq \delta(K_{p,q}) + \varepsilon.$$

Hence we obtain lower estimate for value  $\delta(K_{p,q})$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ :

$$A(p/q) \leq \delta(K_{p,q}).$$

Let us show that  $\delta(K_{p,q}^0) \leq A(p/q)$  for  $p = 3, q = 7, 8, 10, 11, \dots$  Suppose

$$\Gamma(\nu) = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \cos(2\pi r_0 \nu/q) + \gamma_2 \cos(2\pi(r_0 + 1)\nu/q), \quad r_0 = [q/3], \quad \nu \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where the coefficients  $\gamma_i$  choose from the equations

$$\Gamma(0) = 1, \quad \Gamma(1) = \Gamma(2) = 0 \iff \begin{cases} \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 = 1, \\ \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \cos(2\pi r_0/q) + \gamma_2 \cos(2\pi(r_0 + 1)/q) = 0, \\ \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \cos(4\pi r_0/q) + \gamma_2 \cos(4\pi(r_0 + 1)/q) = 0. \end{cases}$$

In [2] prove that  $\gamma_i > 0$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2$ , and

$$\frac{1}{q\gamma_0} = A(3/q). \quad (2)$$

Let  $F(x) = F_q(x)$  is Fejér's polynomial

$$F(x) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{q-1} F_\nu \cos(2\pi\nu x) = \frac{1}{q} \left( 1 + 2 \sum_{\nu=1}^{q-1} \left( 1 - \frac{\nu}{q} \right) \cos(2\pi\nu x) \right) = \left( \frac{\sin(\pi qx)}{q \sin(\pi x)} \right)^2.$$

Consider polynomial  $T^*(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} T^*(x) &= F(x) + \frac{\gamma_1}{2\gamma_0} (F(x + r_0/q) + F(x - r_0/q)) + \\ &\quad + \frac{\gamma_2}{2\gamma_0} (F(x + (r_0 + 1)/q) + F(x - (r_0 + 1)/q)) = \\ &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{q-1} \gamma_0^{-1} F_\nu (\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \cos(2\pi r_0 \nu/q) + \gamma_2 \cos(2\pi(r_0 + 1)\nu/q)) \cos(2\pi\nu x) = \\ &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{q-1} \gamma_0^{-1} \Gamma(\nu) F_\nu \cos(2\pi\nu x). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The polynomial  $T^*(x)$  satisfies conditions 1)–3) of set  $\mathbf{T}(K_{3,q}^0)$ .

Since  $\Gamma(\nu) = \Gamma(q - \nu) = 0$  as  $\nu = 1, 2$ ,  $\Gamma(0) = 1$ ,  $F_0 = 1/q$ , it follows that

$$T^*(x) = \frac{1}{q\gamma_0} + \sum_{\nu=3}^{q-3} \gamma_0^{-1} \Gamma(\nu) F_\nu \cos(2\pi\nu x) = T_0^* + \sum_{k \in K_{3,q}^0} T_k^* \cos(2\pi\nu x).$$

Therefore the polynomial  $T^*(x)$  satisfies condition 1) of set  $\mathbf{T}(K_{3,q}^0)$ .

All coefficients  $\gamma_i > 0$  and  $A(3/q) = (q\gamma_0)^{-1}$  (2). Hence, by positiveness of polynomial  $F(x)$  and definition of polynomial  $T^*(x)$  (3), so that  $T^*(x) \geq 0 \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ . The condition 2) is satisfied.

Now we verify the condition 3). We have

$$T^*(0) = F(0) + \frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_0} F(r_0/q) + \frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_0} F((r_0 + 1)/q).$$

However  $F(\nu/q) = 0$  for  $\nu = 1, 2, \dots, q-1$ , and points  $r_0, r_0 + 1$  are integers from an interval  $(0, q/2)$ . Therefore  $F(r_0/q) = F((r_0 + 1)/q) = 0$  and

$$T^*(0) = F(0) = 1.$$

Thus  $T^*(x)$  belongs to set  $\mathbf{T}(K_{3,q}^0)$  and

$$T_0^* = \frac{1}{q\gamma_0} = A(3/q).$$

Hence upper estimate is  $\delta(K_{3,q}^0) \leq T_0^* = (q\gamma_0)^{-1} = A(3/q)$ .

Finally using  $K_{p,q}^0 \subset K_{p,q}$  and property 1) of  $\delta(K)$ , we get estimates

$$A(p/q) \leq \delta(K_{p,q}) \leq \delta(K_{p,q}^0) \leq A(3/q),$$

i.e.

$$\delta(K_{p,q}^0) = \delta(K_{p,q}) = A(p/q).$$

Polynomial  $T^*(x)$  belongs to set  $\mathbf{T}(K_{p,q}^0)$  and belongs to set  $\mathbf{T}(K_{p,q}) \supset \mathbf{T}(K_{p,q}^0)$ . It is extremal polynomial. This completes the proof of theorem.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] *Montgomery H.L.* Ten lectures on the interface between analytic number theory and harmonic analysis. Providence, RI: Amer. Math. Soc., 1994.
- [2] *Gorbachev D.V., Manoshina A.S.* Turán Extremum Problem for Periodic Function with Small Support // Preprint, arXiv:math.CA/0211291 v1 19 Nov 2002; Tchebyshev Collection, TPSU (Russia). 2001. V. 2. P. 31–40.

*E-mail address:* dvg@uic.tula.ru; ann@mm.tula.ru  
*URL:* <http://home.uic.tula.ru/~gd030473>